arXiv:hep-th/9304131v1 27 Apr 1993

TSU/QFTD-36/92

28th December 1992

Higher spins dynamics in the closed string theory

I.L. BUCHBINDER
Department of Theoretical Physics,
Tomsk State Pedagogical Institute, Tomsk 634041, Russia

E.S. FRADKIN
Department of Theoretical Physics,
P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow 117924, Russia

S.L. LYAKHOVICH and V.D. PERSHIN
Department of Quantum Field Theory,
Tomsk State University, Tomsk 634050, Russia

Abstract

The general σ-model-type string action including both massless and massive higher spins background fields is suggested. Field equations for background fields are followed from the requirement of quantum Weyl invariance. It is shown that renormalization of the theory can be produced level by level. The detailed consideration of background fields structure and corresponding fields equations is given for the first massive level of the closed bosonic string.

1. Introduction. The σ-model approach [1, 2] still remains a basic method of description of string modes interactions allowing to construct effective action for string excitations [2]. This approach is based on insertion of the interaction with background fields into string action and on the principle of Weyl invariance of corresponding quantum theory. The programme of investigation of different aspects of string theory in framework of such approach has been proposed in [1]-[3] and considered in numerical publications (for review see [4, 5]) but for massless background only. As a result the above approach has led to the famous equations for background fields.

This paper is devoted to a generalization of Fradkin-Tseytlin effective action approach [2] to describe not only massless but also massive higher spin modes dynamics. By the present time different approaches to derivation linear field equations for massive string excitations have been developed [6]-[11][1] We hope that our approach allows to obtain interacting equations for massive higher spins fields[2].

The standart σ-model lagrangian [1, 2] is constructed with the help of dimension two operators $\partial_a x^\mu \partial_b x^\nu$ and $R^{(2)}$, where $x^\mu(\sigma)$ are string coordinates and $R^{(2)}$ is world sheet curvature. The corresponding irreducible background fields $G_{\mu\nu}(x)$, $A_{\mu\nu}(x)$ and $\phi(x)$ are identified with massless states in the closed string spectrum. On the face of it the inclusion of massive mode background fields is a trivial enough problem. We should simply add to standart σ-model lagrangian terms containing derivatives of fourth, sixth, eighth and other orders with respect to world sheet coordinates σ^a. This procedure has been discussed for many times (see for examples [2, 9, 14, 15]).

It is convenient to rescale string coordinates $x^\mu \to \sqrt{\alpha'} x^\mu$. Then taking into account contributions from all string modes, both massless and massive, the complete action should have the form

$$S = \int d^2\sigma \, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha')^n \sum_{i_n=1}^{N_n} \mathcal{O}^{(n)}_{i_n}(\sigma, \partial x(\sigma)) \, B^{(n)}_{i_n}(x(\sigma)) \tag{1}$$

Here $B^{(n)}_{i_n}$ are background fields corresponding to given massive level n and $\mathcal{O}^{(n)}_{i_n}$ are some composite operators constructed from $\sqrt{g}$, g^{ab}, ε^{ab}, ∂x, $R^{(2)}$, $\partial\partial x$, $\partial R^{(2)}$, ... At given n the dimension of $\mathcal{O}^{(n)}_{i_n}$ in derivatives with respect to σ^a is equal to $2n+2$. N_n is the number of irreducible operators $\mathcal{O}^{(n)}_{i_n}$ at given n. All background fields $B^{(n)}_{i_n}$ as well as the string coordinates $x^\mu(\sigma)$ are dimensionless. Now the power of α' is connected with the number of given massive level. Hence by following the power of α' we follow the corresponding massive level contribution.

But if we try to consider the theory with the action (1) seriously we will face immediately with the following problems.

- a. Is it really possible to write out all irreducible operators $\mathcal{O}^{(n)}_{i_n}$ and corresponding background fields $B^{(n)}_{i_n}$ for each given massive level?
- b. May be we will not have enough background fields to find correspondence with the string spectrum or, on the contrary, will have too many of them.

---

[1] We do not discuss here the interaction of the tachion mode (see for example [9, 13])

[2] The problem of higher spin interactions has been investigated recently in [12] on the base of new supersymmetry out of string theory framework.

- c. It is evident that the theory under consideration will be nonrenormalizable if we take into account any finite number of massive levels in the action (1). One can expect that the theory will be renormalizable only in generalized sense when infinite number of terms is included into the action together with infinite number of all admissible counterterms. As the action (1) includes all permissible operators constructed of ∂_a, x^μ and g_{ab} with all possible background fields we believe that the theory will be multiplicatively renormalizable because all suitable counterterms should have structure analogous to the structure of action (1). It means that we have a possibility to construct renormalized trace of energy-momentum tensor and to get equations of motion for background fields $B^{(n)}_{i_n}$ by imposing the condition of Weyl invariance. Unfortunately, this programme has a weak point. It is not a simple thing to calculate the quantum trace of energy-momentum tensor in explicit form taking into account contributions of all massive levels. It seems to be more suitable to consider massive levels in turn step by step, starting with the massless level and then adding the first one, the second and so on. We will show that such point of view really can be realized.

- d. If we demand the theory under consideration to have quantum Weyl invariance then we can expect some equations for background fields. Can we be sure that these equations correspond to the known equations defining each given string massive level? Obviously, to answer this question it is sufficient to deduce linear equations for background fields.

- e. One can expect that the requirement of Weyl invariance will lead to equations of motion for bosonic fields of lower and higher spins interacting with each other. It is generally accepted that these equations are inconsistent. Hence we can face with the inconsistency problem in the string theory as well.

So, the attempt to add to standart σ-model action terms corresponding to massive string excitations immediately creates the essential problems. The main aim of this paper is to try to consider some of them in details.

2. Structure of One-loop Renormalization. The main result we are going to discuss here is formulated as follows. Let us consider the action (1) where all massive level contributions are taken into account and calculate one-loop counterterms. Then to find counterterms giving contributions to renormalization of definite massive level it is sufficient to take into account only this given level and all lower massive levels. The background fields of higher massive levels can not give contribution to renormalization of the given level background fields.

Let us write one-loop effective action:

$$\Gamma^{(1)} = S + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\ln\mathcal{H},$$

where S is the action (1) and the operator $\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}$ is

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\delta^2 S}{\delta x^\mu \delta x^\nu}. \qquad (2)$$

It is evident that this operator has the form

$$\mathcal{H} \sim -D^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P^{a_1\dots a_{2k}} D_{a_1}\dots D_{a_{2k}}, \qquad (3)$$

where

$$D_a\partial_b x^\mu = \partial_a\partial_b x^\mu - \Gamma^c_{ab}(g)\partial_c x^\mu + \mathbf{\Gamma}^\mu_{\lambda\rho}(G)\partial_a x^\lambda \partial_b x^\rho,$$

$\Gamma(g)$ and $\mathbf{\Gamma}(G)$ are connections constructed of the world sheet metric g_{ab} and background metric $G_{\mu\nu}$ respectively, $D^2 = g^{ab}D_aD_b$. The coefficients $P^{a_1\ldots a_{2k}}$ depend on background fields and can be written as

$$P = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\alpha')^n P^{(n)}. \tag{4}$$

Here $P^{(n)}$ depend only on background fields of the given massive level with the number n. Then

$$\mathrm{Tr}\ln\mathcal{H} = \mathrm{Tr}\ln\left(-D^2\right) - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{l}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}P^{a_1\ldots a_{2k}}D_{a_1}\ldots D_{a_{2k}}\frac{1}{D^2}\right)^l \tag{5}$$

Remind that all background fields as well as coordinates x^μ are dimensionless. The only dimensional parameters of the theory are α' and σ^a.

The action corresponding to n-th massive level is

$$(\alpha')^n \int d^2\sigma \sum_{i_n=1}^{N_n}\mathcal{O}^{(n)}_{i_n}B^{(n)}_{i_n} \tag{6}$$

A suitable counterterm should have the following form

$$(\alpha')^n \int d^2\sigma \sum_{i_n=1}^{N_n}\mathcal{O}^{(n)}_{i_n}\mathcal{T}^{(n)}_{i_n}(B), \tag{7}$$

where $\mathcal{T}^{(n)}_{i_n}(B)$ are some dimensionless functions of background fields. Taking into account the eqs.(4,5) we see that to create $(\alpha')^n$ in the counterterm (7) one can use in action (1) only n-level and all other levels with numbers less than n.

Thus, to renormalize background fields of massless level we can use only background fields of this level. To renormalize background fields of the first level we can use fields of this level and of the massless one and so on. Although the theory is renormalizable only if infinite number of counterterms is taken into account, we can use these counterterms step by step, level by level. Renormalization of any given level background fields demands only the finite number of counterterms. Remind that we have made the above conclusion in the one-loop approximation. However we believe that this result should valid in higher loops as well.

Thus we have a possibility to consider σ-model type action containing contributions only of finite number of massive string levels. For example the action in the theory of interacting massless and first massive level excitations should have the form

$$\tilde{S} = \int d^2\sigma\left(\sum_{i_0=1}^{N_0}\mathcal{O}^{(0)}_{i_0}B^{(0)}_{i_0} + \alpha'\sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1}\mathcal{O}^{(1)}_{i_1}B^{(1)}_{i_1}\right) \tag{8}$$

The rest of the paper will be devoted to consideration of this case only.

3. Background Fields of First Massive Level. Our aim here is to write out all irreducible operators $\mathcal{O}^{(1)}_{i_1}$ and to introduce all corresponding background fields $B^{(1)}_{i_1}$. The operators $\mathcal{O}^{(1)}_{i_1}$ can be constructed of the objects $\partial_a x^\mu$, $D_a\partial_b x^\mu$, $D_a D_b\partial_c x^\mu$, $D_a D_b D_c\partial_d x^\mu$, $R^{(2)}$, $\partial_a R^{(2)}$, $D_a\partial_b R^{(2)}$ employing the metric g^{ab} and antisymmetric tensor ε^{ab} and taking into account that the dimension of the $\mathcal{O}^{(1)}_{i_1}$ should be equal to four. As a result we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1}\mathcal{O}^{(1)}_{i_1}B^{(1)}_{i_1} \quad = \quad & \sqrt{g}\Big\{g^{ab}g^{cd}\partial_a x^\mu\partial_b x^\nu\partial_c x^\lambda\partial_d x^\kappa F^{(1)}_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}(x)+ \\
& + g^{ab}\varepsilon^{cd}\partial_a x^\mu\partial_b x^\nu\partial_c x^\lambda\partial_d x^\kappa F^{(2)}_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}(x) + \\
& + g^{ab}D^2 x^\mu\partial_a x^\nu\partial_b x^\lambda T^{(1)}_{\mu\nu\lambda}(x) + g^{ac}g^{bd}D_a\partial_b x^\mu\partial_c x^\nu\partial_d x^\lambda T^{(2)}_{\mu\nu\lambda}(x) + \\
& + g^{ac}\varepsilon^{bd}D_a\partial_b x^\mu\partial_c x^\nu\partial_d x^\lambda T^{(3)}_{\mu\nu\lambda}(x) + \\
& + D^2 x^\mu D^2 x^\nu M^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}(x) + g^{ac}g^{bd}D_a\partial_b x^\mu D_c\partial_d x^\nu M^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}(x) + \\
& + g^{ac}\varepsilon^{bd}D_a\partial_b x^\mu D_c\partial_d x^\nu M^{(3)}_{\mu\nu}(x) + g^{ab}D_a D^2 x^\mu\partial_b x^\nu N^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}(x) + \\
& + \varepsilon^{ab}D_a D^2 x^\mu\partial_b x^\nu N^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}(x) + D^2 D^2 x^\mu V_\mu(x) + \\
& + R^{(2)}g^{ab}\partial_a x^\mu\partial_b x^\nu W^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}(x) + R^{(2)}\varepsilon^{ab}\partial_a x^\mu\partial_b x^\nu W^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}(x) + \\
& + g^{ab}\partial_a x^\mu\partial_b R^{(2)}Y^{(1)}_\mu(x) + \varepsilon^{ab}\partial_a x^\mu\partial_b R^{(2)}Y^{(2)}_\mu(x) + \\
& + R^{(2)}D^2 x^\mu U_\mu(x) + D^2 R^{(2)}Q(x) + R^{(2)}R^{(2)}C(x)\Big\} \qquad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

Here $F^{(1)}_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}(x)$, $F^{(2)}_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}(x)$, $T^{(1)}_{\mu\nu\lambda}(x)$, $T^{(2)}_{\mu\nu\lambda}(x)$, $T^{(3)}_{\mu\nu\lambda}(x)$, $M^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}(x)$, $M^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}(x)$, $M^{(3)}_{\mu\nu}(x)$, $N^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}(x)$, $N^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}(x)$, $V_\mu(x)$, $W^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}(x)$, $W^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}(x)$, $Y^{(1)}_\mu(x)$, $Y^{(2)}_\mu(x)$, $U_\mu(x)$, $Q(x)$, $C(x)$ are the background fields. Thus the eq.(9) contains the sum of eighteen irreducible terms. Any other expression of dimension four can be written as a linear combination of the above eighteen terms up to a total divergence.

Let us notice that we can add to the lagrangian (9) any total divergence and so change the background fields. The complete list of divergences of dimension four is

$$\begin{aligned}
& D_a\Big[g^{ab}g^{cd}\partial_b x^\mu\partial_c x^\nu\partial_d x^\lambda\Lambda^{(1)}_{\mu\nu\lambda}(x) + \varepsilon^{ab}g^{cd}\partial_b x^\mu\partial_c x^\nu\partial_d x^\lambda\Lambda^{(2)}_{\mu\nu\lambda}(x) \\
& + g^{ab}D^2 x^\mu\partial_b x^\nu\Lambda^{(3)}_{\mu\nu}(x) + g^{ab}g^{cd}D_b\partial_c x^{(\mu}\partial_d x^{\nu)}\Lambda^{(4)}_{\mu\nu}(x) \\
& + \varepsilon^{ab}D^2 x^\mu\partial_b x^\nu\Lambda^{(5)}_{\mu\nu}(x) + \varepsilon^{ab}g^{cd}D_b\partial_c x^{[\mu}\partial_d x^{\nu]}\Lambda^{(6)}_{\mu\nu}(x) \\
& + g^{ab}D_b D^2 x^\mu\Lambda^{(7)}_\mu(x) + g^{ab}\partial_b x^\mu R^{(2)}\Lambda^{(8)}_\mu(x) \\
& + \varepsilon^{ab}\partial_b x^\mu R^{(2)}\Lambda^{(9)}_\mu(x) + g^{ab}\partial_b R^{(2)}\Lambda^{(10)}_\mu(x)\Big] \qquad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

It means there should be symmetry transformations of the background fields. For example, the invariance of the action under adding the divergence $D_a\left(g^{ab}g^{cd}\partial_b x^\mu\partial_c x^\nu\partial_d x^\lambda\Lambda^{(1)}_{\mu\nu\lambda}(x)\right)$ to the lagrangian (9) leads to the following symmetry transformations:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta F^{(1)}_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_{(\mu}\Lambda^{(1)}_{\nu)(\lambda\kappa)} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{(\lambda}\Lambda^{(1)}_{\kappa)(\mu\nu)} \\
& \delta T^{(1)}_{\mu\nu\lambda} = \Lambda^{(1)}_{\mu(\nu\lambda)} \\
& \delta T^{(2)}_{\mu\nu\lambda} = \Lambda^{(1)}_{\nu(\lambda\mu)} + \Lambda^{(1)}_{\lambda(\nu\mu)} \qquad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

The analogous transformations can be written out for all other background fields.

Let us notice that these transformations are not gauge ones since they do not concern the dynamical variables x^μ. However one can expect that the requirement of the quantum Weyl invariance will lead to the equations of motion for the background fields. Then the above transformations should be the gauge transformations of those equations.

It is easy to see that these transformations have the following general structure

$$E \to E + \partial\Lambda, \qquad S \to S + \Lambda \tag{12}$$

All background fields are divided into two classes - ones of E-type and ones of S-type. The structure of transformations (12) shows that S-type fields are Stueckelberg ones. Their rule is to provide the gauge invariance in massive theory. Taking into account the invariance under the above transformations we can fix the guage by setting all the S-type fields equal to zero. The rest background fields are $F^{(1)}_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}(x)$, $F^{(2)}_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}(x)$, $W^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}(x)$, $W^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}(x)$, $C(x)$, $T^{(1)}_{\mu\nu\lambda}(x)$, $T^{(3)}_{\mu[\nu\lambda]}(x)$, $M^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}(x)$, $U_\mu(x)$.

Our aim is to construct the quantum trace of energy-momentum tensor and then to impose the requirement of the Weyl invariance. Let us consider the contribution of the first massive level to the operator of trace. It presents the sum of eighteen terms in accordance with the number of irreducible four-dimensional operators. The coefficients at these operators are combinations of the background fields and their derivatives. Unfortunately the final result is complicated enough and we will enumerate only the basic properties.

- a. Trace of the energy-momentum tensor is invariant under the above symmetry transformations.

- b. Trace of the energy-momentum tensor is equal to zero if and only if all massive background fields are equal to zero.

- c. Background fields which are the coefficients at the D^2x^μ in the action (9) are present in the trace only as the coefficients at D^2x^μ.

Let us consider the terms proportional to D^2x^μ in the action (9). The classical equations of motion are

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta x^\mu} \sim G_{\mu\nu}D^2x^\mu + \text{terms depending on background fields}$$

Therefore all the terms $\sim D^2x^\mu$ can be expressed through the classical equations of motion with redefinition of some background fields. But we know that the operator of classical equations of motion does not contribute to quantum average values. So we can omit all the terms $\sim D^2x^\mu$ in the trace and hence in the action (9). The presence or the absence of such terms does not influent on the quantum average value of the trace operator.

Thus, setting all the S-type background fields equal to zero and omitting terms $\sim D^2x^\mu$ we will have the following list of essential background fields: $F^{(1)}_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}(x)$, $F^{(2)}_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}(x)$, $W^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}(x)$, $W^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}(x)$, $C(x)$.

4. Renormalization of Background Fields and Composite Operators in Linear Approximation. As an illustration of the approach under consideration we will construct the renormalized operator of energy-momentum tensor trace on the flat massless background ($G_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$, $A_{\mu\nu} = 0$, $\phi = 0$) taking into account only terms linear in massive background fields. We will use the dimensional regularization and will start investigation from the renormalization of the background fields.

In the one-loop approximation the divergent part of effective action can be found from the following relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{Tr}\ln\mathcal{H})|_{div} \quad = \quad & \mathrm{Tr}\ln\left(-D^2\right)\Big|_{div} - \mathrm{Tr}\,\Big(P^{a_1a_2a_3a_4}D_{a_1}D_{a_2}D_{a_3}D_{a_4}\frac{1}{D^2} \\
& + P^{a_1a_2a_3}D_{a_1}D_{a_2}D_{a_3}\frac{1}{D^2} + P^{a_1a_2}D_{a_1}D_{a_2}\frac{1}{D^2} + P^{a_1}D_{a_1}\frac{1}{D^2} + P\frac{1}{D^2}\Big)\Big|_{div} \qquad (13)
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficients $P^{a_1a_2a_3a_4}, \ldots, P^{a_1}, P$ are defined by the eqs(3,4). The denotion $(\ldots)|_{div}$ means that this terms contain only the pole of the type $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ where ϵ is a parameter of dimensional regularization. To extract the pole terms we apply a two-dimensional analouge of the generalized Schwinger-DeWitt technique [16].

The straightforward calculations lead to

$$
\frac{1}{D^2}\Big|_{div} = \frac{\mu^\epsilon}{2\pi\epsilon}, \qquad D_{a_1}\frac{1}{D^2}\Big|_{div} = D_{a_1}D_{a_2}\frac{1}{D^2}\Big|_{div} = \ldots = 0 \qquad (14)
$$

Omitting all calculational details we write out the final result for one-loop divergences in the linear approximation:

$$
\left(\mathrm{Tr}\ln\frac{\delta^2 S}{\delta x^\mu \delta x^\nu}\right)\Big|_{div} = \frac{\mu^\epsilon}{2\pi\epsilon}\int d^2\sigma\sqrt{g}\left(\frac{d}{6}R^{(2)} - P^\mu{}_\mu\right), \qquad (15)
$$

where d is a tangent space dimension and $P^{\mu\nu}$ is the coefficient $P_{\mu\nu}{}^{a_1\ldots a_{2k}}$ at $k = 0$ in the eq.(3). The first term in the right hand side of eq.(15) corresponds to the well known one-loop renormalization of the dilaton. The second one leads to the following one-loop divergences of effective action in sector of the first massive level background fields:

$$
\Gamma^{(1)}_{div} = -\frac{\mu^\epsilon}{\epsilon}\,\alpha'\int d^2\sigma \sum_{i_1=1}^{18} \mathcal{O}^{(1)}_{i_1}\partial^2 B^{(1)}_{i_1}, \qquad (16)
$$

where $\partial^2 = \eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu$. Hence the one-loop renormalization of massive background fields in linear approximation looks like that:

$$
\overset{\circ}{B}{}^{(1)}_{i_1} = \mu^{-\epsilon}\left(B^{(1)}_{i_1} + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\partial^2 B^{(1)}_{i_1}\right), \qquad i_1 = 1, 2, \ldots, 18 \qquad (17)
$$

Here the index $\circ$ denotes the bare fields. We see that the renormalization has quite the universal structure.

To apply the dimensional regularization we formulate the theory on $(2+\epsilon)$-dimensional world sheet. Then the trace of energy-momentum tensor should have the general form:

$$
T \sim \alpha' \sum_{i_1}^{18} T^{(1)}_{i_1}\mathcal{O}^{(1)}_{i_1} + \frac{1}{4}\,\epsilon\,\sqrt{g}g^{ab}\partial_a x^\mu \partial_b x^\nu \delta_{\mu\nu} + \ldots, \qquad (18)
$$

where $T^{(1)}_{i_1}$ are functions of the background fields $B^{(1)}_{i_1}$ and their derivatives. Here dotes denote terms which do not contribute to the quantum average values in massive background field sector. In accordance with the eq.(18) the trace operator is a linear combination of two- and four-dimensional operators. Hence to construct renormalized trace operator we have to carry out the renormalization of corresponding composite operators and express all bare background fields through renormalized ones taking into account the eqs.(17).

The situation with renormalization of dimension four composite operators is the same as with renormalization of the effective action. A bare composite operator is written as a sum of infinite number of renormalized composite operators of any dimension. However if we want to follow given massive level contribution to the quantum trace operator it is sufficient to take into account only finite number of renormalized composite operators.

Let us start with the dimension four composite operators.Consider, for example, the operator $g^{ab}g^{cd}\partial_a x^\mu \partial_b x^\nu \partial_c x^\lambda \partial_d x^\kappa f_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}(x)$, where $f_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}$ is some combination of background fields and their derivatives. We write $x^\mu = \bar{x}^\mu + \xi^\mu$ where $\bar{x}^\mu$ are background coordinates and ξ^μ are quantum ones. In one-loop approximation we have to consider terms of second order in ξ^μ only. Then we should calculate average value and extract the divergent part. As a result we will have in linear approximation:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(g^{ab}g^{cd}\partial_a x^\mu \partial_b x^\nu \partial_c x^\lambda \partial_d x^\kappa \overset{\circ}{f}_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}\right)_0 = \\
&= \left[g^{ab}g^{cd}\partial_a x^\mu \partial_b x^\nu \partial_c x^\lambda \partial_d x^\kappa \left(\overset{\circ}{f}_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}(x) - \frac{\mu^\epsilon}{\epsilon}\partial^2 \overset{\circ}{f}_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}(x)\right)\right]. \qquad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

Here brackets denote renormalized composite operators. Now we express bare background fields on right hand side of eq.(19) in terms of renormalized ones: $\overset{\circ}{f} = \mu^\epsilon (f + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\partial^2 f)$ (see the eq.(17). As a result we obtain

$$\left(g^{ab}g^{cd}\partial_a x^\mu \partial_b x^\nu \partial_c x^\lambda \partial_d x^\kappa \overset{\circ}{f}_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}\right)_0 = \left[g^{ab}g^{cd}\partial_a x^\mu \partial_b x^\nu \partial_c x^\lambda \partial_d x^\kappa f_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa}\right] \qquad (20)$$

The analogous relations take place for any other dimension four composite operators. In the linear approximation all dimension four composite operators are finite.

Now let us consider the only dimension four operator $g^{ab}\partial_a x^\mu \partial_b x^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu}$. In this case straightforward calculations leads to the following result:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(g^{ab}\partial_a x^\mu \partial_b x^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu}\right)_0 = \left[g^{ab}\partial_a x^\mu \partial_b x^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu}\right] + \frac{\mu^\epsilon}{\epsilon}A, \\
&A = \Big[P_\mu{}^\mu - \frac{1}{2}D_a P^a{}_\mu{}^\mu + \frac{1}{2}D_a D_b P^{ab}{}_\mu{}^\mu - \frac{1}{4}g_{ab}D^2 P^{ab}{}_\mu{}^\mu + \frac{1}{2}D^a\left(R^{(2)}P_{1\,a}{}^\mu{}_\mu\right) \\
&\qquad + \frac{1}{6}D_a\left(R^{(2)}\varepsilon^{ab}P_{2\,b}{}^\mu{}_\mu\right) + \frac{1}{2}D^a\left(\tilde{P}_\mu{}^\mu D_a R^{(2)}\right)\Big] \qquad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
P^{abc}{}_{\mu\nu} &= \left(g^{ab}g^{cd} + g^{ac}g^{bd} + g^{ad}g^{bc}\right)P_{1\,d\,\mu\nu} + g^{(ab}\varepsilon^{c)d}P_{2\,d\,\mu\nu}, \\
P^{abcd}{}_{\mu\nu} &= \left(g^{ab}g^{cd} + g^{ac}g^{bd} + g^{ad}g^{bc}\right)\tilde{P}_{\mu\nu} \qquad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

The functions $P_{\mu\nu}$, $P^a{}_{\mu\nu}$, $P^{ab}{}_{\mu\nu}$, $P^{abc}{}_{\mu\nu}$, $P^{abcd}{}_{\mu\nu}$ are given by the eqs.(3,4) as the coefficients of the differential operator $\mathcal{H}$ at $n = 1$.

5. Background Fields Equation of Motion. As a result the renormalized trace of the energy-momentum tensor has the form

$$[T] \sim \alpha' {\sum_{i_1}}' T^{(1)}_{i_1}\left[\mathcal{O}^{(1)}_{i_1}\right] + A \equiv {\sum_{i_1}}' E^{(1)}_{i_1}\left[\mathcal{O}^{(1)}_{i_1}\right], \tag{23}$$

where the prime indicates that there are no terms proportional to D^2x^μ in the summation. The requirement of the quantum Weyl invariance is $[T]=0$. It means that all functions $E^{(1)}_{i_1}$ should be equal to zero. Thus we obtain the equation of motion for the first massive level background fields $E^{(1)}_{i_1}=0$. After straightforward calculations we are able to write these equations in the explicit form:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial^2 F^{(1)}_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa} - 4\partial_\mu\partial^\alpha F^{(1)}_{\alpha\nu\lambda\kappa} + 2\partial_\mu\partial_\nu F^{(1)}_{\lambda\kappa\alpha}{}^{\alpha} + 2\partial_\mu\partial_\nu F^{(1)\alpha}_{\lambda\alpha}{}_{\kappa} + \partial_\mu\partial_\lambda\partial^\alpha T^{(2)}_{\alpha\nu\kappa}\\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\partial^\alpha T^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda\kappa} - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu\partial_\lambda T^{(1)\alpha}_{\alpha}{}_{\kappa} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\partial_\lambda\partial_\kappa M^{(2)\alpha}_{\alpha}\\
&\quad - m^2\Big(F^{(1)}_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu T^{(2)}_{\nu\lambda\kappa} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu T^{(2)}_{\lambda\nu\kappa} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu T^{(2)}_{\lambda\kappa\nu} - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu W^{(1)}_{\lambda\kappa}\\
&\quad + \partial_\mu\partial_\nu\partial_\lambda Y^{(1)}_{\kappa} - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu\partial_\lambda\partial_\kappa Q\Big) = 0\\
&\partial^2 F^{(2)}_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa} + 6\partial_\lambda\partial^\alpha F^{(2)}_{\mu\nu\kappa\alpha} - 4\partial_\lambda\partial^\alpha F^{(2)}_{\kappa\mu\nu\alpha} + 4\partial_\lambda\partial_\mu F^{(2)\alpha}_{\nu\alpha}{}_{\kappa}\\
&\quad - 4\partial_\lambda\partial_\mu F^{(2)\alpha}_{\kappa\alpha}{}_{\nu} + \partial_\nu\partial_\mu\partial^\alpha T^{(3)}_{\alpha(\nu\kappa)} - \partial_\lambda\partial_\mu\partial_\nu T^{(3)\alpha}{}_{(\alpha\kappa)}\\
&\quad - m^2\left(F^{(2)}_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\lambda T^{(3)}_{\kappa(\mu\nu)} - \partial_\lambda T^{(3)}_{\mu(\nu\kappa)} - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu W^{(2)}_{\lambda\kappa} - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu\partial_\lambda Y^{(2)}_{\kappa}\right) = 0\\
&\partial^2 T^{(2)}_{\mu\nu\lambda} - 2\partial_\lambda\partial^\alpha T^{(2)}_{\mu\nu\alpha} + \partial_\mu\partial^\alpha T^{(2)}_{\alpha\nu\lambda} - 2\partial_\mu\partial_\nu T^{(2)\alpha}_{\alpha}{}_{\lambda} - 2\partial_\nu\partial_\lambda T^{(2)\alpha}_{\alpha}{}_{\mu}\\
&\quad - 8\partial^\alpha F^{(1)}_{\alpha\nu\mu\lambda} + 8\partial_\lambda F^{(1)}_{\mu\nu\alpha}{}^{\alpha} + 8\partial_\lambda F^{(1)\alpha}_{\mu\alpha}{}_{\nu} + 2\partial_\lambda\partial_\nu\partial^\alpha M^{(2)}_{\alpha\mu} + 2\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\partial_\lambda M^{(2)}{}_{\alpha}{}^{\alpha}\\
&\quad - m^2\left(-2\partial_\lambda M^{(2)}_{\mu\nu} - 4\partial_\lambda W^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} + 2\partial_\lambda\partial_\mu Y^{(1)}_{\nu} + 2\partial_\lambda\partial_\nu Y^{(1)}_{\mu} - 4\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\partial_\lambda Q\right) = 0\\
&\partial^2 T^{(3)}_{\mu(\nu\lambda)} + \partial_\mu\partial^\alpha T^{(3)}_{\alpha(\nu\lambda)} - \partial_\lambda\partial^\alpha T^{(3)}_{\mu(\nu\alpha)} - \partial_\nu\partial^\alpha T^{(3)}_{\mu(\alpha\lambda)} - \partial_\mu\partial_\lambda T^{(3)\alpha}{}_{(\alpha\nu)}\\
&\quad - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu T^{(3)\alpha}{}_{(\alpha\lambda)} + 2\partial_\nu\partial_\lambda T^{(3)\alpha}_{(\alpha\mu)} + 4\partial^\alpha F^{(2)}_{\mu\nu\lambda\alpha} + 4\partial^\alpha F^{(2)}_{\mu\lambda\nu\alpha}\\
&\quad - 4\partial^\alpha F^{(2)}_{\nu\lambda\mu\alpha} + 8\partial_\nu F^{(2)\alpha}_{\mu]\alpha}{}_{[\lambda} + 8\partial_\lambda F^{(2)\alpha}_{\mu]\alpha}{}_{[\nu} - 2\partial_\nu\partial_\lambda\partial^\alpha M^{(3)}_{\alpha\mu}\\
&\quad - m^2\Big(-\partial_\lambda M^{(3)}_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\nu M^{(3)}_{\mu\lambda} - 2\partial_\nu W^{(2)}_{\mu\lambda} - 2\partial_\lambda W^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}\\
&\quad - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu Y^{(2)}_{\lambda} - \partial_\mu\partial_\lambda Y^{(2)}_{\nu} + 2\partial_\lambda\partial_\nu Y^{(2)}_{\mu}\Big) = 0\\
&\partial^2 M^{(2)}_{\mu\nu} + 2\partial_\mu\partial^\alpha M^{(2)}_{\alpha\nu} + \partial_\mu\partial_\nu M^{(2)}{}_{\alpha}{}^{\alpha} + 4F^{(1)}_{\mu\nu\alpha}{}^{\alpha} + 4F^{(1)\alpha}_{\mu\alpha}{}_{\nu}\\
&\quad - 2\partial^\alpha T^{(2)}_{\mu\nu\alpha} - 2\partial_\mu T^{(2)}{}_{\alpha}{}^{\alpha}{}_{\nu}\\
&\quad + m^2\left(M^{(2)}_{\mu\nu} + 2W^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} - 2\partial_\mu Y^{(1)}_{\nu} + \partial_\mu\partial_\nu Q\right) = 0\\
&\partial^2 M^{(3)}_{\mu\nu} - 2\partial_\nu\partial^\alpha M^{(3)}_{\alpha\mu} + 8F^{(2)\alpha}_{\mu]\alpha}{}_{[\nu} - 2\partial^\alpha T^{(3)}_{\mu(\nu\alpha)} + 2\partial_\nu T^{(3)\alpha}{}_{(\alpha\mu)}\\
&\quad + m^2\left(M^{(3)}_{\mu\nu} + 2W^{(2)}_{\mu\nu} + 2\partial_\mu Y^{(2)}_{\nu}\right) = 0\\
&\partial^2 W^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} - 2\partial_\nu\partial^\alpha W^{(1)}_{\alpha\mu} + \partial_\mu\partial_\nu W^{(1)}{}_{\alpha}{}^{\alpha} - 2F^{(1)}_{\mu\nu\alpha}{}^{\alpha} - 2F^{(1)\alpha}_{\mu\alpha}{}_{\nu}\\
&\quad + \partial^\alpha T^{(2)}_{(\mu\nu)\alpha} + \partial_\mu T^{(2)}{}_{\alpha}{}^{\alpha}{}_{\nu} - 2\partial_\mu\partial^\alpha M^{(2)}_{\alpha\nu}\\
&\quad - m^2\left(M^{(2)}_{\mu\nu} + 2W^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} - 2\partial_\mu Y^{(1)}_{\nu} + 2\partial_\mu\partial_\nu Q - 2\partial_\mu\partial_\nu C\right) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial^2 W^{(2)}_{\mu\nu} - 2\partial_\mu\partial^\alpha W^{(2)}_{\alpha\nu} - 2F^{(2)\alpha}_{\mu\alpha\ \ \nu} + 2F^{(2)\alpha}_{\nu\alpha\ \ \mu} + \partial^\alpha T^{(3)}_{\mu(\alpha\nu)} \\
&\qquad - \partial_\nu T^{(3)\alpha}{}_{(\alpha\mu)} - 2\partial_\mu\partial^\alpha M^{(3)}_{\alpha\nu} - m^2\left(M^{(3)}_{\mu\nu} + 2W^{(2)}_{\mu\nu} + 2\partial_\mu Y^{(2)}_\nu\right) = 0 \\
&\partial^2 Y^{(1)}_\mu - \partial_\mu\partial^\alpha Y^{(1)}_\alpha - \partial^\alpha M^{(2)}_{\alpha\mu} + \partial_\mu M^{(2)}{}_\alpha{}^\alpha - 2\partial^\alpha W^{(1)}_{\alpha\mu} + 2\partial_\mu W^{(1)}{}_\alpha{}^\alpha + m^2 4\partial_\mu C = 0 \\
&\partial^2 Y^{(2)}_\mu - \partial_\mu\partial^\alpha Y^{(2)}_\alpha + \partial^\alpha M^{(3)}_{\alpha\mu} + 2\partial^\alpha W^{(2)}_{\alpha\mu} = 0 \\
&\partial^2 Q + \frac{1}{2} M^{(2)\alpha}_{\ \ \ \ \alpha} + W^{(2)\alpha}_{\ \ \ \ \alpha} - \partial^\alpha Y^{(1)}_\alpha + m^2 2C = 0 \\
&\partial^2 C - m^2 C = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Here m is the mass of the first massive level of closed string. It can be shown that these equations are invariant under the gauge transformations (12). Hence we have a possibility to fix the invariance by setting all the Stueckelberg fields equal to zero. Then the eqs.(24) will have the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\partial^2 - m^2\right) F^{(1)}_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa} = 0, \qquad \left(\partial^2 - m^2\right) F^{(2)}_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa} = 0, \\
&\left(\partial^2 - m^2\right) W^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \qquad \left(\partial^2 - m^2\right) W^{(2)}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \qquad \left(\partial^2 - m^2\right) C = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

$$\partial^\alpha F^{(1)}_{\alpha\mu\nu\lambda} = 0, \qquad \partial^\alpha F^{(2)}_{\alpha\mu\nu\lambda} = 0, \qquad \partial^\alpha W^{(1)}_{\alpha\mu} = 0, \qquad \partial^\alpha W^{(2)}_{\alpha\mu} = 0, \tag{26}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&2F^{(1)\ \alpha}_{\mu\nu\alpha} + 2F^{(1)\alpha}_{\mu\alpha\ \ \nu} + m^2 W^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \qquad 4F^{(2)\alpha}_{\mu\alpha\ \ \nu} - 4F^{(2)\alpha}_{\nu\alpha\ \ \mu} + 2m^2 W^{(2)}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \\
&W^{(1)}{}_\alpha{}^\alpha + 2m^2 C = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

The equations (25-27) are final.

Let us consider these equations in details. The eqs.(25) define the standart on-shell conditions. The eqs.(26) are the transversality conditions. To clarify the content of these eqs.(25-27) we introduce

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{\mu\nu\,\lambda\kappa} &= 2F^{(1)}_{\mu\lambda\nu\kappa} + 2F^{(1)}_{\mu\kappa\nu\lambda} - 2F^{(2)}_{\mu\lambda\nu\kappa} - 2F^{(2)}_{\nu\kappa\mu\lambda}, \\
W_{\mu\,\nu} &= -W^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} + W^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}, \\
F_{\mu\nu\,\lambda\kappa} &= F_{(\mu\nu)\,(\lambda\kappa)}
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Then the eqs.(25-27) are rewritten as

$$\left(\partial^2 - m^2\right) F_{\mu\nu\,\lambda\kappa} = 0 \tag{29}$$

$$\partial^\alpha F_{\alpha\mu\,\nu\lambda} = 0, \qquad \partial^\alpha F_{\mu\nu\,\lambda\alpha} = 0 \tag{30}$$

$$W_{\mu\,\nu} = -\frac{2}{m^2} F_{\mu\alpha}{}^\alpha{}_\nu, \qquad C = -\frac{1}{2m^2} W_\alpha{}^\alpha \tag{31}$$

The eqs.(31) mean that the fields $W_{\mu\nu}$ and C are not independent ones. They present simply the denotion for the traces $F_{\mu\alpha}{}^\alpha{}_\nu$ and $F_{\mu\alpha}{}^{\alpha\mu}$ respectively. As a result the linear background field equations of motion have the form (29,30).

It is well known that the first massive level of closed bosonic string corresponds to a tensor field of fourth rank $f_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = f_{(\mu\nu)(\alpha\beta)}$ satisfying the on-shell equations (29) and also the conditions of transversality (30) and of tracelessness inside two pairs of indices. Thus the theory under consideration will correspond to the first massive string excitations if we impose the additional requirements ${F_{\mu\nu\alpha}}^{\alpha} = 0$, ${F_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}{}_{\mu\nu} = 0$ on the background fields in the lagrangian (9)[3].

6. Conclusion. In this paper we have presented the generalization of σ-model approach allowing to describe the interaction of both massless and massive string modes. The model including the background fields corresponding to the first massive level has been considered in details.

We have shown that the renormalization of the theory with finite number of massive level contributions is realized independently on higher massive levels background fields. It allows to investigate the proposed model by standart methods of the renormalization theory and to derive the renormalized trace of the energy-momentum tensor. Then the requirement of quantum Weyl invariance leads to equation of motion for all massless and massive background fields. This programme has been realized in one-loop weak field approximation and the result corresponds to the first massive level spectrum of closed bosonic string under some restrictions on the background fields. We believe that to get these restrictions in framework of our approach it is sufficient to find two-loop weak field contribution to the quantum trace.

It is obvious that the proposed approach can as well be realized beyond the weak field approximation, when interactions of massive modes with each other and with massless modes are taken into account. Since the background fields corresponding to massive string levels include fields of higher spins we can expect that this approach opens the way to construct the theory of interacting massive higher spins coupled to gravity.

Acknowledgements. I.L.B. is very grateful to Hugh Osborn for a warm hospitality at DAMTP, University of Cambridge and fruitful discussions. He is thankful to A.A.Tseytlin for stimulating detailed discussions and critical comments and to C.Hull, P.Townsend, P.West for useful conversations.

---

[3] The problem of tracelessness condition has been established in approach of exact renormalization group for open string in [8]. It has been noticed in [10] that to obtain this condition for open string one should consider two-loop approximation.

References

[1] C.Lovelace, Phys.Lett. B135 (1984) 75.

[2] E.S.Fradkin and A.A.Tseytlin, Phys.Lett. B158 (1985) 316;
Nucl.Phys. B261 (1985) 1.

[3] C.Callan, D.Friedan, E.Martinec and M.Perry, Nucl.Phys. B272 (1985) 593;
A.Sen, Phys.Rev. D32 (1985) 2102.

[4] A.A.Tseytlin, Nucl.Phys. B294 (1987), 383.

[5] H.Osborn, Ann.Phys. 200 (1990) 1.

[6] R.Brustein, D.Nemeschansky and S.Yankielowicz, Nucl.Phys. B301 (1988) 224.

[7] U.Ellwanger, J.Fuchs, Nucl.Phys. B312 (1989) 95.

[8] J.Hughes, J.Liu and J.Polchinsky, Nucl.Phys. B316 (1989) 15.

[9] A.A.Tseytlin, Int.J.Mod.Phys., A4 (1989) 4249.

[10] J.M.F.Labastida and M.A.H.Vozmediano, Nucl.Phys. B312 (1989) 308.

[11] J.C.Lee and B.A.Ovrut, Nucl.Phys. B336 (1990) 22;
S.Jain and A.Jevicki, Phys.Lett. B220 (1989) 379.

[12] E.S.Fradkin and M.A.Vasilyev, Phys.Lett. B189 (1987) 89;
Nucl.Phys. B291 (1987) 141;
Ann.Phys. (N.Y.) 177 (1987) 63;
Int.J.Mod.Phys. A3 (1988) 2983.

[13] S.R.Das and B.Sathiapalan, Phys.Rev.Lett. 56 (1986) 2664.

[14] C.Callan and Z.Gan, Nucl.Phys. B272 (1986) 647.

[15] A.A.Tseytlin, Phys.Lett. B185 (1987) 59.

[16] A.O.Barvinsky and G.A.Vilkovisky, Phys.Repts. 119 (1985) 1.